,1 7+(+,*+ &2857 2) -867,&( )('(5$/ &$3,7$/ 7(55,725

,1 7+($%8-$ -8',&,$/ ',9,6,21

+2/'(1 $7 +,*+ &2857 0$,7$0$ ±$%8-$

%()25(+,6 /25'6+,3 +21 -867,&(6 8 %$785(

&2857 &/(5.6 -$0,/$ 20(.(256

&2857 180%(5 +,*+ &2857 12

&$6(180%(5 68,7 12)&7 +& 3(7

'$7($35,/

%(7:((1

$'(62/$ 7(0,723(26,3,7$1 6268«««««««3(7,7,21(5

$1'

)(<,6(7$1 '20,1,& 6268«««««««««« 5(6321'(17

$33($5$1&(
O.V. Archibong Esq for the Petitioner.
Temitayo Lasaki Esq for the Respondent.
R. Okotie-Eboh Esq (Lead Counsel) for the Petitioner.
Petitioner is in Court.

-8'*0(17

The Petitioner filed this Petition on the 14th day of May 2020, seeking for the following reliefs:-

a) A decree of dissolution of the marriage on the ground that the marriage has broken down irretrievably and the Petitioner cannot reasonably be expected to live with the Respondent.
b) An order granting custody of the child of the marriage (Oluwafeyifunmi Isaac Sosu) to the Petitioner.

c) An order granting supervisional visitation rights of the child of the marriage within reasonable time in a public place in the presence of a 3rd party at such time that this Honourable Court may deem fit.

The facts grounding this Petition are as follows:-

a) That since the marriage the Respondent has behaved in such a way that the Petitioner cannot reasonably be expected to live with the Respondent, as the Respondent continually assaulted and beat the Petitioner.
b) The Respondent has failed and refused to perform his marital responsibilities since January, 2018.
c) The Respondent has not provided any support or upkeep for the Petitioner since 2017.
d) The Petitioner has paid and continues to pay for upkeep and rent of the House/apartment at Plot 516 Olayiwola Abiose Street, Mabushi, Abuja from 2017 till date.
e) The Respondent has on several occasions threatened to kill the only child of the marriage in order to spite the Petitioner.
f) On several occasions, the Respondent has violently snatched the child of the marriage Oluwafeyifunmi Isaac Sosu from the Petitioner while feeding with threat to drop him to spite the Petitioner.
g) The Respondent solicits for and receives nude pictures from women despite being married to the Petitioner.
h) The Respondent has informed the Petitioner and other family members that he has been involved in adulterous and extra marital affairs with several women.
i) The Petitioner states that she has been responsible for the upkeep and maintenance of the only child of the marriage from birth till date.
j) The Petitioner states that she paid the Hospital bills in United States of America for the birth of the said child and all other expenses.
k) The Petitioner states that the Respondent though working informed the Petitioner that his monthly income is being attached by a commercial bank for debt incurred as a result of gambling.

l) The Petitioner has continued to suffer emotional and psychological abuse from the Respondent.
m) The Respondent has acted in a wicked and selfish manner to the Petitioner and their son.
n) The Respondent is involved in acts of scamming and deceiving people which has become unhealthy to the Petitioner.
o) The Respondent has behaved in such a way that the Petitioner cannot reasonably be expected to continue the marriage with the Respondent.
p) The Petitioner states the Respondent does pornographic videos and explosive sex videos with women despite being married to the Petitioner.
q) The Petitioner has made several entreaties to the Respondent to change his ways and actions but all her efforts have proved abortive.

Upon being duly served the Petition Respondent herein through his Counsel Chikasolu Ojukwu Esq, filed an answer to the Petition on the 2nd day of July, 2020. Same is supported by the Respondent's verifying Affidavit of 7 paragraphs sworn to on the 29th day of July 2020.

Parties herein filed their respective witness statements on Oath.

The Petitioner's witness statement on Oath is dated 29th day of March 2021, filed same day.

While Respondent's witness statement on Oath is dated 26th day of March 2021 filed on the same day.

It should be recalled that on 29/3/2021 Learned Respondent's Counsel Chika Osolu Ojukwu Esq, informed this Court that appeal had been entered against the Ruling of this Honourable Court delivered on the 27th day of November, 2020 seeking order of this Court striking out this Petition.

Mr. Ojukwu gave the appeal NO. As & $ $ % - & 9 , and applied for a date to file and move a Motion for stay of proceedings.

The Court adjourned the matter to 10/6/2021 for hearing of the said Motion. A copy of the record of Appeal was equally served on the Court.

However, on the 5th day of July 2021 Learned Respondent's Counsel C. F. Odinuru Esq advised Learned Petitioner's Counsel Olajide Okusote Esq not to respond to their application for stay of proceedings since they intend to withdraw the Appeal filed before the Court of Appeal.

As parties were trying to settle the matter amicably, on request of the parties, the Court further adjourned to 27/9/2021 for report of settlement.

However, regrettably, when parties failed to settle as settlement had broken down according to Learned Petitioner's Counsel R. Okotie-Eboh Esq on the 1/3/2022, the Court adjourned the matter for the Petitioner to open her case.

At trial, the Petitioner adopted her witness statement on Oath and tendered several Exhibits which were admitted in evidence and marked as follows:-

"(1) A marriage Certificate of the Petitioner and Respondent celebrated at the Federal Marriage Registry, Ikoy, Lagos dated 7th November 2017 marked Exhibit A.

(2) A Certificate of Birth of Oluwafeyfunwmi Isaac Sosu, marked Exhibit A1.

(3) A Lufthansa Flight itenary marked Exhibit A2

(4) A letter written by Joseph Onu addressed to Ms. Adesola Osipitan dated 8th May 2020, along with Receipts for rent paid dated 6/3/2018, 20/2/2017, 13/3/2019, 3/3/2020 are marked exhibits A3, A4, A5, A6 and A7 respectively.

(5) A bundle of 7 Receipts for purchase of children's items are marked Exhibits A8, A9, A10, A11, A12, A13 and A14 Respectively.

(6) A paypal payment transaction details of 2 pages and a Receipt issued by Untied States Department of state Receipt for passport services as well as an Individual application information and payment of $100 Dollars Receipt marked Exhibits B, B1, B2, and B3 respectively.

(7) A Credit card payment Receipt from US Anesthesia partners dated 10/12/2019 marked Exhibit B4.

(8) A letter written by Liliana Castillo, financial Counselor HCA Houston healthcare addressed to Osipitan Adesola T dated October, 2021 marked Exhibit C.

(9) A Pediatric Medical group letter containing payment summary and payment options addressed to Adesola T. Osipitan dated 11/12/2019, page 27 payment details, photocopy of a receipt dated 25th September 2019, 2 payment details issued by memorial Herman Medical group, issued by Dr. Niclolas Xydas women's Centre, and a North Houston Pathology Association payment details marked Exhibits C1-C8 respectively.

(10) 3 page document addressed to Osipitan on payment transaction of instamed are marked Exhibits C9, C10 and C11 respectively.

(11) 3 page flight Itenary of Revelinks travels for Lufthansa flight dated Thursday 12 September 2019 marked Exhibit C12, C13 respectively.

(12) Photocopy of Lufthansa flight Itenary for Thursday 21 November and 22 November 2019 marked Exhibit C15.

(13) Two certificates of compliance pursuant to Section 84 of the Evidence Act filed on 30/6/2020 and 25/02/2021 marked Exhibits C16 and C17 respectively.

On the same day being the 1st day of March 2022 the Petitioner was duly Cross-examined by Temitayo Lasaki Esq, Learned Respondent's Counsel.

Mr. Lasaki Esq also informed the Court that the Respondent will not be calling any witnesses.

The Petitioner subsequently filed her final written address on the 7th day of March 2022 which was adopted by Learned Petitioner's Counsel R. Okotie-Eboh Esq on the 8th day of March 2020.

Mr. Lasaki Esq Respondent's Counsel informed the Court that they would not file any address but urged the Court to grant the proposed terms.

The Court then adjourned the matter for Judgment.

In the Petitioner's final written address, a sole issue for determination was formulated thus:-

> *³:KHWKHU WKH 3HWLWLRQHU KDV SURYHG F FLUFXPVWDQFHV RI WKLV VXLW VR DV WR HQW WKH UHOLHIV VRXJKW"*

In arguing the issue, Learned Counsel referred the Court to the provision of Section 15 (1) of the Matrimonial Causes Act CAP M7, Laws of the Federation of Nigeria 2004 and submitted that the Petitioner married the Respondent under the Act as shown in Exhibit A. reliance was equally placed on Section 137 (1) of the Evidence Act as well as the cases of 7 2 : 2 (1 , 9 7 2 : 2 (1 , $ / /) : / 5 3 7 3 * $ / $ 2 9 . 2 5 ($ / /) : / 5 3 7 * $ 5 % $ 9 = $ 5 , $ $ / /) : / 5 3 7 & $ 3 * 3 $ 5 $ 6 * %

Learned Counsel submitted that from the evidence led by the Petitioner, being the sole witness in this case, the Petitioner has fully discharged the Onus of burden of proof placed on her. That Petitioner having given her evidence on Oath has supported the grounds predicating the Petition.

Reference was made to Section 15 (2) of the Matrimonial Causes Act as well as the case of EKREBE V EKREBE (1999) 3 NWLR (PT. 596) 514, CA.

It is submitted moreso that the Petitioner's evidence is unchallenged and

Petitioner further deposed in paragraph 21 (s) that she was later diagnosed again with a sexually transmitted disease during her pregnancy.

According to the Petitioner when she confronted the Respondent he initially denied having sexual relationships outside the marriage, but later confessed that he had sex with a lady after his outing in the club and profusely apologized.

That when the parties reconciled and tried to move on, they both decided to have their baby in the United States, but that Respondent refused to fund the trip, and Petitioner still went ahead on her own to fund the trip and delivery of their child among other incidental expences. That during the said period Respondent even refused to pick her calls. And that when Respondent finally joined the Petitioner in the United States as he was required to sign all documents in respect of their baby as the father, the Respondent went on a spending spree, by buying Iphones, clothes for himself and alcoholic drinks.

That the Petitioner bought all the baby clothes, paid for their upkeep in the United States and Respondent did not give the Petitioner any money as promised by his mother.

That at a point when the respondent requested for a loan from Petitioner's friend who was accommodating them in the United States, the Respondent lost his temper and threatened to beat up the Petitioner because she confronted him about the loan. That it took the intervention of her friend to prevent him from beating her just some weeks after she delivered their child through Caesarian Section.

Petitioner deposed, that when she requested for ticket for their baby to return to Nigeria, the Respondent blatantly told her that he has spent all his money and that he was leaving United States as his flight was an earlier date.

That she had to call her parents for financial assistance to enable her bring their baby back to Nigeria.

The Petitioner further states in paragraph 27 and 28 thereof, that upon her return to Nigeria, Respondent's behavior of keeping late nights and clubbing became worse as well as his drinking habit coupled with gambling a fact which respondent admitted to the Petitioner when he gambled off his car in a Casino.

That one Chima a colleague of the Respondent called the Petitioner and informed her that the Respondent had taken out a loan of ₦1,000,000.00 and when he demanded for the loan, Respondent told him that the Petitioner had refused to sign the cheque of the joint account which was not true as the parties did not operate a joint account.

That Petitioner states in paragraph 32 thereof, that anytime she complained about his attitude of leaving the house and not returning till next day, the Respondent would resort to violence, and at one instance, he grabbed their baby who was then barely few months old and shook him violently in fits of rage.

In paragraphs 34 and 35, 37, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 46, 47, 49, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 58, 59, 61, 62, 63, and 64 thereof Petitioner deposed as follows:-

> 3 7KDW VWRRI 0D , ZDV DW KRPH , UHFH
> PHVVDJH YLD H PDLO ZKLFK ZDV VHQW DV
> XQYHULILDEOH HPDLO DGGUHVV WKRXJK SULR
> UHFHLYLQJ FDOOV WKDW WKH 5HVSRQGHQW LV
> VHYHUDOO SHRSOH KDG DOVR FDOOHG PH WR
> RI PRQH WKH 5HVSRQGHQW ERUURZHG IURP WK
>
> 7KDW XSRQ UHFHLSW RI WKH VDLG YLGHR
> DQG , ZDV VKRFNHG ZKHQ , VDZ WKH 5HVS
> KXVEDQG HQJDJLQJ LQ VH[XDO DFW ZLWK DQ X
> , ZHSW SURIXVHO , ZDV GLVWUDXJKW FRQVLG
> ZDV KRPH DORQH ZLWK RXU EDE ´

7KDW WKH 5HVSRQGHQW FDPH EDFN DW DE
RI 0D DQG , FRQIURQWHG KLP DERXW WKH
YHU KXUW WKH 5HVSRQGHQW SXVKHG PH DQ
EHGURRP GRRU DQG WKH GRRU EURNH DQG WK
WKDW , VKRXOG JHW RXW RI KLV OLIH DQG WK
PDUULDJH

7KDW , SLFNHG RXU FU\LQJ EDE\ DQG W
GUDJJHG P\ VRQ E\ WKH DUP DQG WKUHDWH
VWDWLQJ WKDW , KDG GHYHORSHG WRR PXFK F
WKH FKLOG

7KDW WKH UHVSRQGHQW NHSW VKRXWLQJ DO
EDE\¶V DUP DQG WKH EDE\ NHSW VFUHDPLQJ F
SOHDGLQJ WKDW WKH 5HVSRQGHQW VKRXOG U
DV WKH EDE\ ZDV IUDJLOH DQG KH FRXOG EU
EDE\

7KDW DW WKDW SRLQW , VWDUWHG SOHDG
5HVSRQGHQW WR UHOHDVH WKH DUP RI WKH E
SKRQH UDQJ DQG WKLV GLVWUDFWHG WKH 5HV
WKH 5HVSRQGHQW ORRVHQLQJ KLV JULS RQ WK

7KDW XSRQ WKH UHOHDVH RI WKH JULS RI
IXOO\ JUDEEHG WKH EDE\ DQG UDQ RXW RI W
DIUDLG IRU P\ OLIH DQG WKDW RI WKH EDE\

7KDW , UDQ RXW LQWR WKH HDUO\ GDUNQH
KDG QRWKLQJ RQ EXW D QLJKW ZHDU :KLOH ZD
EDE\ , VDZ D SDWURO YDQ DV , ZDV FU\LQ
RIILFHUV LQ WKH YDQ DSSURDFKHG PH DV W
EHFDXVH , ZDV ZLWK D EDE\ DQG LQ P\ QLJKW Z

7KDW XSRQ EHLQJ TXHVWLRQHG E\ WKH SRO
SDWURO YDQ , LQIRUPHG WKHP RI ZKDW KD
RIILFHUV LQ WKH SDWURO YDQ GHFLGHG WR

DQG ZKHQ WKH SROLFH RIILFHUV NQRFNHG
5HVSRQGHQW |HOOHG WKLQNLQJ , ZDV WKH RQ
5HVSRQGHQW VKRXWHG IURP LQVLGH WKDW KH
JHW RXW RI KLV OLIH DQG QHYHU UHWXUQ DQG
DUH EDFN ULJKW" , ZLOO NLOO |RX LI |RX FRPH

7KDW WKH RIILFHUV NHSW NQRFNLQJ DQG I
WKH| ZHUH RIILFHUV RI WKH 1LJHULDQ 3ROLFH
5HVSRQGHQW RSHQHG WKH GRRU DQG WKH R
WKHPVHOYHV WR WKH 5HVSRQGHQW

7KDW XSRQ WKLV WKH RIILFHUV WRRN WKH
WKH 6$56 RIILFH LW ZDV WKHQ , GLVFRYHUHG
WKH SDWURO YDQ ZHUH IURP 6$56

7KDW DW WKH 6$56 RIILFH , FDOOHG D IULH
ODZ|HU WR FRPH WR 6$56 RIILFH DW WKH 6$5
RIILFHUV VWDWHG WKDW LW ZDV WKHLU GXW
RUGHU 7KH 5HVSRQGHQW DW WKH 6$56 RII
LQILGHOLW| DQG VWDWHG WKDW KH ZDV WLUHG
ZDQWHG PH RXW RI WKH KRXVH

7KDW LQ YLHZ RI WKH DERYH DQG WR PDI
RUGHU WKH SROLFH RIILFHUV VWDWHG WKDW
UHQW DQG WKDW WKHUH ZDV DOUHDG| YLROHQ
5HVSRQGHQW VKRXOG PRYH WR $VRNRUR WR
YLROHQFH ZKLFK LV WKH 5HVSRQGHQW¶V PRWK

7KDW VLQFH , ZDV WKH RQH SD|LQJ WKH UH
ZDV DGYLVHG WR PRYH WR KLV PRWKHU¶V KRX
IURP PH WR DYRLG IXUWKHU YLROHQFH ZKLFK

7KDW IXUWKHU WR WKH $ERYH WKH 5HVJ
XQGHUWDNLQJ DW WKH SROLFH VWDWLRQ WKH
QHDU PH DQG ZRXOG DYRLG DQ| FRQIURQWDWL
DQ| GHFLVLRQ WDNHQ E| WKH SDUWLHV

7KDW IXUWKHUDQFH WR SDUDJUDSK DERY
PRYHG RXW RI WKH KRXVH EXW DIWHU PRYL
VHQGLQJ WKUHDWHQLQJ PHVVDJHV WR PH
PHVVDJHV KH KDG WKUHDWHQHG KH ZRXOG WD
IRUHYHU DQG KH ZRXOG NLOO P\ VRQ DV WKDW
VRXUFH RI KDSSLQHVV

7KDW DV D UHVXOW RI WKH VDLG WKUHDW
WKH DSDUWPHQW DQG PRYHG WR D QHZ KRXVH
FDPHUDV DQG , JRW D QDQQ\ DV ZHOO IRU WK
DQG ,

7KDW , NQRZ DV D IDFW WKDW WKHUH DUH V
ZKDWVDSS ZKHUHLQ WKH 5HVSRQGHQW DGL
LQWROHUDEOH EHKDYLRU DQG , DOVR NQRZ W
EURNHQ GRZQ LUUHWULHYDEO\

7KDW RQ VHYHUDO RFFDVLRQV , KDYH KDG
WKH 5HVSRQGHQW DFFUXHG LQ WKH FDXVH RI J

7KDW , KDG UHFHLYHG DQRQ\PRXV PHVVDJH
5HVSRQGHQW ZDV D FKURQLF JDPEOHU D
SRUQRJUDSK\ ZKLFK KH GRHV IRU PRQH\

7KDW WKH 5HVSRQGHQW KDV DFWHG LQ W
FDQQRW FRQWLQXH WR OLYH ZLWK KLP DQG
DEXVHG PH SK\VLFDOO\ DQG HPRWLRQDOO\

7KDW , GR QRW IHHO VDIH EHLQJ LQ WKH
5HVSRQGHQW DQG WKH VDIHW\ RI WKH FKLOG
WKH VHYHUDO WKUHDWV E\ WKH 5HVSRQGHQW
PH

7KDW WKH PDUULDJH WR WKH 5HVSRQGHQW
LUUHWULHYDEO\

7KDW , WKHUHIRUH SUD\ WKLV +RQRXUDEO WKH PDUULDJH DQG JUDQW DOO WKH UHOLH SHWLWLRQ ´

The Respondent on his part, has denied all the above facts in his answer to the Petition.

Respondent particularly in paragraph 5 thereof, denied ever physically assaulting the Petitioner at anytime during the marriage, that he has fulfilled his marital obligations including but not limited to conjugal rights and financial support to the Petitioner and their child to the best of his ability from the beginning of the marriage till April, 2020. That he paid the first rent on their property in 2017 and the Petitioner opted to pay subsequent rents since she earns a signifantly higher income. And that the Respondent always contributed part payment in cash which Petitioner has never complained about.

Further to that, Respondent states that he has never threatened to kill or otherwise harm his child in any form whatsoever as he loves the child wholeheartedly. That the allegations in paragraph 8 (e) and 8(f) of the Petition are a figment of the Petitioner's imagination.

Respondent further states that he never solicited or received any nude picture (s)from any woman since he got married to the Petitioner and that contrary to Petitioners paragraph (h) Respondent has never informed the Petitioner or anyone else for that matter that he has been involved in any adulterous relationship, as no such relationship has ever existed.

On the trip to the United States of America for the birth of their child, the Respondent avers that it was the Petitioner's choice to have their baby in the United States of America even when the Respondent enjoined her to undergo the delivery in a competent Hospital within Nigeria. That to his surprise, the Petitioner vehemently refused the Respondent's suggestion and proceeded to inform him that she has the financial means to travel abroad and to take care of all the costs of the delivery and other

associated expences. That Petitioner voluntarily paid the hospital bills and other expences for the birth of their child in the United States.

Respondent also denied the allegation in paragraph 8 (k) and averred that he is not a gambler.

In paragraph 5 (c) (m) and (n) thereof the Respondent avers that contrary to Petitioner's paragraphs 8 (c) and 8 (m) he has not inflicted any emotional or psychological abuse whatsoever on the Petitioner and has never acted in a wicked or selfish manner towards the Petitioner and/or their child.

That contrary to Petitioner's paragraph 8 (m) he is not a scammer but a civil servant and therefore not in the business of scamming or deceiving people.

The Respondent further averred that he emphatically denies that he engages in viewing pornographic or sex videos as alleged in paragraph 8 (p) of the Petition.

In paragraph 6 thereof, Respondent averred thus:-

> *³7KH 5HVSRQGHQW VWDWHV WKDW WKH IDF*
> *3HWLWLRQ DUH PDOLFLRXV DQG XQIRXQGHG ´*

Now as stated earlier Petitioner has deposed on Oath among other things that she has received and watched a video of the Respondent, her husband, engaging in sexual acts with an unidentified woman, aside from other allegations in her Petition.

Although the Respondent has denied these allegations and has also filed an answer in that regard, the Respondent in my view had the opportunity of presenting his own evidence to contradict or challenge the evidence of the Petitioner.

The Court has taken judicial notice of the Respondent's witness statement on Oath filed on 26/3/2021.

However, since the Respondent has not adopted the said witness statement on Oath, it is deemed as having been abandoned.

On effect of a witness statement on Oath which has not been formally adopted by a prospective or potential witness, the Court of Appeal has held in the case of 2%(<$ 9 2.32*$ 0,&52),1$1&(%$1. /7' /3(/5 &$ thus:-

> *³«««« $ ZLWQHVV GHSRVLWLRQ RI D SRWHQWLH EH UHJDUGHG DV HYLGHQFH ,W PXVW EH DGR LWV GHSRQHQW RWKHUZLVH LW LV D X SDSHU«««««´ SHU (.$1(0 - & $ S SDUD %)*

See also the cases of 1:$/878 9 1%$ $125 /3(/5 6& $'(%2:$/(9 52%,1621 /3(/5 &$

Consequently therefore, the effect of the Respondent not adopting his witness statement on Oath, is that the Petitioner's evidence is unchallenged and uncontroverted.

On the effect of unchallenged and uncontroverted evidence in Matrimonial proceedings I refer to the case of 1$11$ 9 1$11$ 1:/5 37 where the Court observed that evidence which is not successfully challenged or discredited and which is relevant to the issues in controversy ought to be admitted and relied upon by a trial Court.

The allegations against the Respondent herein are grave and weighty.

On what amounts to intolerable behavior the Court has held in the case of 2*81720<,1%2 9 2*81720<,1%2 /3(/5 &$ DW 3$5$*$ as follows:-

> *³7KH GXW\ LV RQ WKH &RXUW WR FRQVLGHU Z EHKDYLRU LV RQH LQ ZKLFK D ULJKW WKLQNL WR WKH FRQFOXVLRQ WKDW WKH 5HVSRQGHQW ZD\ WKDW WKH 3HWLWLRQHU FRXOG QRW UHDV OLYH ZLWK KLP WDNLQJ LQWR DFFRXQW W*

7KH IDFW WKDW LQ WKH FDVH RI FKLOGU
FXVWRG\ VKRXOG QRUPDOO\ EH DZDUGH
XQOHVV RWKHU FRQVLGHUDWLRQV PDNHV
HWF ´

In the instant case from the evidence adduced by the Petitioner I am of the view that it will be in the best interest of the child if custody is awarded to the Petitioner. Master 2/8:$)(<,)810, ,6$$&6268 deserves to be brought up in a safe, secure and healthy environment most especially considering his tender age.

Likewise, the Petitioner has satisfied the Court that she is fit and capable of taking care of Educational, financial and other needs of the child of the marriage.

In considering maintenance of the child, the Court shall consider the proposal made by both the Petitioner and the Respondent, in the best interest of the child.

Consequently, it is hereby ordered as follows:-

1) I hereby grant a Decree Nisi dissolving the marriage between the Petitioner $'(62/$ 7(0,723(26,3,7$1 6268 DQG WK Respondent)(<,6(7$1 '20,1,& 6268 celebrated at the Federal Marriage Registry Ikoyi, Lagos, Lagos State of Nigeria on the 7th day of November 2017. The decree shall become absolute if nothing intervenes within three months from this date.
The Petitioner $'(62/$ 7(0,723(26,3,7$1 6268 is awarded sole custody of child of the marriage, 2/8:$)(<,)810, ,6$$&6268
3) The Respondent FEYISETAN DOMINIC SOSU is awarded visitation Rights subject to convenience of the parties at the time of request.
The Respondent)(<,6(7$1 '20,1,& 6268 is hereby ordered to pay the sum of é)RUW\ 7KRXVDQG1DLUD monthly

to the Petitioner for the upkeep/welfare of the child of the marriage 2 / 8 : $) (< ,) 8 1 0 , , 6 $ $ & 6 2 6 8

6 L J Q H G

+ R Q - X V W L F H 6 8 % D W X U